

The perspective of Conscientiology before questions formulated by the Centre of Consciousness Studies: an interview with Waldo Vieira

Antonio Pitaguari

Interview made on 6th April 2006, with Waldo Vieira, proposer of the science conscientiology, regarding some questions about the theme consciousness formulated by the *Center of Consciousness Studies*, in Tucson, Arizona, USA, organizers to the seventh biennial event, *Towards a Science of Consciousness 2006*, which took place from 4th to the 8th April 2006.

(<http://consciousness.arizona.edu/problem.htm>).

1. What is consciousness?

WV: The consciousness is the first and last reality, constituting the most important theme to be researched. There are two realities in the Cosmos: consciousness and energy. Energy has no self-will, the consciousness imposes intelligence on energy.

Everything seems to suggest that, something intelligent (primothosene¹) unchained this condition, and, thus, known reality developed. It is not known if the primothosene is unique or multiple.

Most scientific research should involve the consciousness. This would draw attention to the cosmic megafocus. Science, with its pretense of neutrality, practically removed consciousness from the research scenario when not admitting the researcher-research interaction.

Participatory research must be highlighted. There is no non-participatory research, the researcher's influence on the research object always exists. This is the biggest mistake of official science.

On the other hand, consciousness is indivisible, it has its start in bacteria, an individualized consciential principle. There is no physical instrumentalism capable of directly studying the consciousness. The interaction of consciousness with matter occurs in a way similar to an interface.

Conventional researchers still work subordinated to capitalist interests. On the other hand, it is necessary to criticize the conventional paradigm, explaining the concept of electronautic consciousness, that one who suffers the psychosis of the electron, getting stuck in a dermatological process. They are not capable of approaching the consciousnesses' nucleus, the core, they remain only on the surface. The electronautic consciousness wastes time. They have not yet discovered thosenology.

¹ Primo + thosene. Thosene = The unit of practical manifestation of the consciousness, according to conscientiology, which considers the thought or idea (concept), the sentiment or emotion, and the CE (consciential energy) as whole, in an indivisible fashion.

For such consciousnesses, first of all, the principle of disbelief should be explained. The researcher, even the one from Parapsychology, only researches others. It is necessary to prioritize and to develop their own condition as a sensitive, to make self-research, to develop multidimensional self-awareness and parapsychism. The researcher, a self- and heteroresearcher in a single person.

2. Can subjective experience be explained in physical terms?

WV: Only through the electron. The highest objective of knowledge is intraconscientiality, subjectivity. Participatory research is what leads to that conclusion. It is necessary to use the techniques of the consciential paradigm, to elaborate temporary consensus. Theories are relative. I study myself, you study yourself, until we come to a verpon². It is necessary to falsify all hypotheses, to develop theories, corollaries and principles, sometimes primary and imprecise, however necessary, and thus, subject to rain and thunder.

It is continuously necessary to apply the circularity technique. Consensus tends to indicate the group's limitations, but it is the best that is available. Unanimity should be combated, it indicates something is wrong, because consciousnesses are different.

The basis of the process is Paracerebrology. There is no other hypothesis. There is a parabrain of which the brain is a mere imitation. It is necessary to face this condition. Here, in the physical dimension, everything is a caricature, Deficientiolland. Efficientiolland is the extraphysical dimension.

Projectability is the way to access the true reality of efficiency. There is no other door or alternative. Either you project or you lag behind. The NDE is halfway. A lucid taking off of the psychosoma explains subjective experience.

It would be valid for conventional researchers to know pangraphy. The unknown aspects of a language which dispenses with the use of symbols.

The phenomenon of telepathy should be studied more. In Parapsychology knowledge can be considered as stagnated because research is wasting time in the era of the atom. They need the impacttherapy of the mentalsoma.

3. What methods would be adequate and potentially fruitful to the study of the consciousness?

WV: The adequate method is the consciousness itself, in participatory research. Conventional researchers have resources to carry out self-research, but they got stuck in

² A leading edge relative truth.

financial dependence obfuscated by Materialism. Even having extraordinary resources to the study consciousness, they dedicate themselves to themes considered secondary.

The consciousness researcher should know the 5 aspects of the consciousness science:

1. Conscientiology.
2. Intraconscientiology.
3. Extraconscientiology.
4. Interconscientiology.
5. Polyconscientiology.

In intraphysiology, people study objects more than the consciousnesses itself and the interactions among them which explain Materialism.

In polyconscientiology, it is necessary to highlight evolutionary intelligence and the entrance into polykarmality. Evolutionary intelligence cannot lack, it allows for the precise notion of the framework, principle, middle and end, the coherence and cohesion of conscientiology.

To do that, independent research is necessary. By following the motto "publish or perish", the person is dying due to publication. They start wrong, and continue in the same, wrong way. An abyss lies ahead.

There are many consciexes with experiences that resulted from this impasse, and they are interested in understanding these ideas. They want to understand such ideas before the resoma, so they can avoid erring again.

4. What are the consciousness' neural correlates?

WV: The paraneosynapsis which is in the parabrain. In the brain, the most serious are the neuroglia (the structure that supports the nervous tissue).

5. Can the new methods of images of brain functions help to clarify the consciousness' nature and processes?

WV: Yes. I understand that they should lead to Paratechnology. For now it is only superficial. But they should be the last resource. You start with 1% theory, and in second place 99% of experimentation or experience and only then, in third place, enter devices. Self-research laboratories are located in the second part. Intraconscientuality must be a priority for consciousness researchers.

The study of mentalsomatic disciplines, ideogenics, in particular, is indicated for a greater understanding of conscientiology.

6. What is the relation between conscious and unconscious processes in perception, memory, learning and other domains?

WV: It is a mentalsomatic interaction. Intraconscientiology.

7. What are the properties of the conscious experience in specific domains such as vision, emotion and metacognition?

WV: It is the polyhedral consciousness. It is important to evidence the person's reality.

8. How can we understand disorders and uncommon forms of consciousness like those found in blindsight, synesthesia, and in others syndromes?

WV: It is paracerebrology, discoincidentiology, lucid projectability.

9. Does the consciousness has a functional role? If in positive case, what would be it?

WV: Lucidity, hyperacuity, the themes included in volitiology and in intentiology. The consciousness improves the physical Cosmos, their general role being to evolve (self-evolution). They are responsible for lucidity, a result of the application of concepts studied in holomaturology.

Conscientiology is the science of sciences. Priority. We are convinced of this condition. Let the one who wants to try to present something more important. Conscientiology is the experiential knowledge of the consciousness for the consciousness, theorice, objective, palpable, in a totally transparent or *glasnost* like condition.

The consciousness is immortal, eternal. It is important to end any fear of speaking a name. We cannot stop using certain terms just because religion used them. It is important to explain what religion spoke of with new, proper, and better terms. Specialists should decide if they accept it or not. Reality is ephemeral.

A conscin can improve the Cosmos, for instance the Kyoto Protocol, the defense of Ecology.

10. Can strict methods to research and formalize data about conscious experience be developed from the perspective of the first person?

WV: The formulation of this question indicates the possible realization of an intermissive course. The answer is yes. This is the way out, the only one. There are already conventional researchers thinking of the consciential paradigm which includes the principle of disbelief, self-researchology and self-experimentology.

For information on Conscientiology and links to institutions, research and learning resources:

www.isicons.org

ISICons (FB, g+ and Youtube)

information@isicons.org

11. Which role would the subjective experience have in current theories of modern science?

WV: To restrict the electronautic conscin, materialism. Naturology is the consciousness' dermatology.

12. What would be the implications of the science of consciousness upon Ethics and society?

WV: Cosmoethics, paralaw, World State. Globalization is not the ideal, but it is the first step. The ICCC is a small sample of group organization. It is necessary to show breadth in argumentations. One should not limit the theme of which they make part: offiex, Interludium, the Extraphysical Central of Energy. Admitting the extraphysical process values Natural History.

13. Could the study of the consciousness clarify ideas derived from contemplative traditions and vice-versa?

WV: Without any doubt, due to the laboratory that is parapsychism. No one evolves alone or lives isolated. It is necessary to start seeing the consciexes who accompany us. It is necessary to study the thosene, the holothosene and the materthosene. To know the list of thosenes and thosenology.

14. Should the reach, scope, extension, the perspective (purview) of science be expanded to capture the essential elements of the conscious phenomenon or are traditional methods sufficient?

WV: The electron does not reach the consciousness, it only gets tangential to it. The use of instruments is useless. Instrumental transcommunication (*spiricom*) is limited. The most serious and definitive is a consciousness studying the very same consciousness.

CSICOP - Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal (skeptics) - neutralized parapsychology. Materialism paralyzed parapsychology and metapsychics.

Waldo Vieira concluded the interview by making 2 suggestions to conscientiology researchers:

1. Participation of ICCC researchers, in events such as Tucson 7th - Towards a Science of Consciousness (4th to 8th April 2006, with 314 scientific works about the consciousness). He highlighted the importance of indicating people with financial independence to represent the ICCC in those events, aiming at presenting verpons and bearing the contrary pressure.
2. It is important to expose ideas which are concise, practical and objective, exposing conscientiological research which points at the limits of established science. Enter with conscientiology and then show academic limits. Conventional science does not interest us directly. We should prioritize assistance to who did the intermissive course. The planet will improve only in this way.

Translated and revised by ISIC (Sergio Fernandes, Jeffrey Lloyd)
July 2017